

IN THE

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F049607 People v. K.S.

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

F049607 People v. K.S.

The judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F049114 People v. Lara

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

F049114 People v. Lara

The judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F049285 In re Francisco N.

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

IN THE
Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE
Fifth Appellate District

F049285 In re Francisco N. a Minor

The trial court is directed to correct its paperwork to reflect that the court did not order Francisco to pay for all or part of the costs of drug testing as a condition of probation. In all other respects, the judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F049200 People v. Salcedo, Sr.

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

F049200 People v. Salcedo, Sr.

The judgment is reversed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F049452 People v. Smith

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

F049452 People v. Smith

The judgment is affirmed without prejudice to any relief to which Appellant might be entitled after the United States Supreme Court determines the effect of *Blakely*, supra, 542 U.S. 296 on California law in *Cunningham v. California*, No. 05-6551.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]